KAREN A. CONNOLLY 1 KAREN A. CONNOLLY, LTD. 2 6600 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 124 Las Vegas, NV 89146 3 Telephone: (702) 678-6700 Facsimile: (702) 678-6767 E-Mail: 4 advocate@kconnollylawyers.com Attorney for Jan Rouven Fuechtener 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO.: 2:16-CR-100-GMN-CWH 9 Plaintiff, 10 VS. SECOND REQUEST TO WITHDRAW **GUILTY PLEA** 11 JAN ROUVEN FUECHTENER, 12 Defendant. 13 14 Defendant herein, JAN ROUVEN FUECHTENER, by and through his attorney of record, KAREN A. CONNOLLY, of the law office of KAREN A. CONNOLLY, LTD., hereby files this 15 Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea. This motion is made and based upon the pleadings and papers on 16 file herein, the following Points and Authorities and any evidence adduced at the time of any hearing 17 18 in this matter. 19 DATED this day of August, 2018. 20 KAREN A. CONNOLLY, LTD. 21 22 KAREN A CONNOLLY 6600 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 124 23 Las Vegas, NV 89146 Telephone: (702) 678-6790 24 Attorney for Jan Rouven Fuechtener 25 111 26 27 28

Mtn to WD Guilty Plea.2.wpd

Mtn to WD Guilty Plea.2.wpd

MEMORANDUM OF LAW

On November 17, 2016, on what was to be the fourth day of his trial, Defendant Jan Rouven Fuechtener (hereinafter "Rouven") pled guilty to Possession of Child Pornography in violation of 18 US Sec 2252 (a)(5)(B); Receipt of Child Pornography in violation of 18 USC Sec. 2252(a)(2) and (b); and Distribution of Child Pornography in violation of 18 USC Sec 2252 (A)(2) and (b). Rouven now seeks to withdraw his plea.

The standard under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 for withdrawing a plea is extremely liberal, and instructs that, "A defendant may withdraw a plea of guilty or nolo contendere ... after, the court accepts the plea, but before it imposes sentence if ... the defendant can show a fair and just reason for requesting the withdrawal." Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(d)(2)(B) In the Ninth Circuit, leave to withdraw a plea prior to the imposition of sentence "should be freely granted" wherever a "fair and just" reason is presented. *United States v. Navarro-Flores*, 628 F.2d at 1184 (citing *United States v. Webster*, 468 F.2d 769, 771 (9th Cir. 1972); *Kadwell v. United States*, 315 F.2d 667, 670-71 (9th Cir. 1963)). A defendant need only present a "plausible reason" for withdrawal prior to the imposition of sentence. *Navarro-Flores*, 628 F.2d at 1184 (citing *Kercheval v. United States*, 274 U.S. 220, 224 (1927); *United States v. Erlenborn*, 438 F.2d 165, 168 (9th Cir. 1973)). "Such a motion should be considered liberally in favor of the accused." *United States v. Artabane*, 868 F. Supp. 76, 77 (M.D. Pa. 1994) (citing *United States v. Young*, 424 F.2d 1276, 1279 (3rd Cir.1970)).

This request to withdraw the guilty plea is made and based upon the fact that the guilty plea agreement violates the Double Jeopardy Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution in accordance with the *Davenport Rule* established in United *States v. Davenport*, 519 F.3d 940 (9th Cir. 2008.) In *Davenport*, the Ninth Circuit held that the offense of possession of child pornography (18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(5)(B)), is a lesser included offense of receipt of child pornography (18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(2)). Under the Double Jeopardy Clause of the Fifth Amendment, it is a constitutional error to enter a conviction against a defendant for both receipt and possession of child pornography for the same conduct. <u>Id</u>. Under the terms of the guilty plea agreement, Rouven pled guilty to both receipt and possession of child pornography

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Where separate conduct supports each offense, the Fifth Amendment 's Double Jeopardy Clause is not implicated. United States v, Overton, 573 F.3d 679, 695 (9th Cir. 2009); see also, United States v. Schales, 546 F.3d 965, 978 (9th Cir. 2008). In the context of child pornography, a defendant may be convicted both of receipt of one image and possession of another image, or for receipt of an image on a hard drive and possession of the same image on a compact disc, but not for receipt and possession of the same image on the same device. Id.

To avoid offending the Double Jeopardy Clause, when prosecuting a defendant both for a crime and a lesser-included offense, the government "must distinctly set forth" the criminal activity that forms the basis of each count. Id 546 F.3d 965. The guilty plea charges both possession and receipt of child pornography. A prosecutor may pursue both receipt and possession convictions in a child pornography case by specifying the different criminal acts that form the basis of each count. United States v. Johnston 789 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2015). That was not done in the case at bar: The plea agreement does not distinctly set forth separate facts in support of the charge of possession and receipt respectively. Thus the guilty plea agreement violates the Double Jeopardy Clause and is invalid. See, United States v. Davenport, 519 F.3d 940 (9th Cir. 2008). United States v. Schales, 546 F.3d 965,978 (9th Cir. 2008) United States v. Johnston 789 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2015).

Accordingly, Jan Rouven Fuechtener should be permitted to withdraw his guilty plea since it violates the Fifth Amendment to the United State Constitution.

day of August, 2018. DATED this

KAREN A. CONNOLLY, LTD.

KAREN A. CONNŎLLY

6600 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 124

Las Vegas, NV 89146

Telephone: (702) 678-6700 1

3

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of KAREN A. CONNOLLY, LTD., and on the

LD day of August, 2018, I served a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Second

Request to Withdraw Guilty Plea via the CM/ECF system upon the following:

by depositing the same in the U.S. Mail, First Class Mail, with postage fully prepaid, at Las

Vegas, Nevada, addressed as follows:

Cristina D. Silva, United States Attorney

Daniel D. Hollingsworth, United States Attorney

Elham Roohani, United States Attorney

Lisa Cartier-Giroux, United States Attorney Mark E. Woolf, United States Attorney

an Employee of KAREN A. CONNOLLY, LTD.